EXHIBIT D

DATE 3/5/26/3:::::

HB 418

SOOTSMAN

Montana Sportsmen

Voice of Reason on Montana Fish and Wildlife Issues

TO THE HOUSE FWP COMMITTEE:

RE: HB 418-AN ACT REVISING THE RESTRICTION ON THE NUMBER OF HUNTING LICENSES AND PERMITS THAT MAY BE ISSUED TO NONRESIDENTS

POSITION: Montana Sportsmen's Alliance hereby goes on record <u>ADAMANTLY</u> <u>OPPOSING</u> this bill based on the following reasons:

- In 2012, under the current 10% allocation to nonresidents, plus the other programs available to nonresidents, Montana issued 17,675 non-resident elk tags and 24,215 deer tags. Of the Western states, only Colorado issued more non-resident elk tags and only Wyoming issued more non-resident deer tags. See attached sheet.
- For the last two years, every non-resident who applied for a Big Game combination license, was drawn.
- Only Montana offers the non-resident hunter who draws a tag, the opportunity to hunt for 11 weeks, assuming they both archery and rifle hunt. Most states only provide a 5-10 day opportunity.
- With regards to non-resident archery tags in the "Breaks" and 21 adjacent units, non-residents in 2012 had a 32% chance of drawing a tag in the "Breaks" and a 55% chance of drawing in the adjacent units. See attached sheet.
- If the rationale is used that the additional tags are needed because elk populations are over objectives then the proper tool to reduce populations is to increase cow harvest, not bull harvest, and with rifles.
- The economic impact is being misstated and does not account for loss of revenue from resident hunters. See attached sheet.

Once again we would like to state our **ADAMANT OPPOSITION** for HB 418

Montana Sportsmen Alliance Leadership Group

Vito Quatraro-Bozeman
Joe Perry-Brady
John Borgreen-Great Falls
Sam Milodragovich-Butte
Robert Wood-Hamilton
JW Westman-Laurel

580-1130
627-2369
453-4273
453-4273
531-5043

www.montanasportsmenalliance.com www.pac.montanasportsmenalliance.com P.O. Box 1324 | Hamilton, Montana 59840

	NONRESIDENT	NR COLLEGE STUDENT BIG GAME COMBO	393	\$70	
B-10	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - BIG GAME COMBO	34	\$939	
B-10	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - BIG GAME COMBO	10,440	\$939	
	NONRESIDENT	FAMILY SPONSOR - BIG GAME COMBO	41	\$939	
	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - BIG GAME COMBO	1,732	\$939	
	NONRESIDENT	FAMILY SPONSOR - BIG GAME COMBO	5	\$939	
	NONRESIDENT	YOUTH BIG GAME COMBO	388	\$470	
			13,033		
	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - ELK COMBO	430	\$789	
	NONRESIDENT	NR NATIVE GENERAL ELK	1,001	\$80	
B-10	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - ELK COMBO	1	\$789	
B-10	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - ELK COMBO	2,052	\$789	
			3,484		
B-12	NONRESIDENT	ELK B LICENSE	120	\$273	
	NONRESIDENT	ELK B LICENSE	140	\$273	
B-12	NONRESIDENT	ELK B LICENSE	308	\$273	
B-12	NONRESIDENT	ELK B LICENSE	590	\$273	
			1,158		
B-11	NONRESIDENT	LANDOWNER SPONSOR - DEER COMBO	7	\$556	
	NONRESIDENT	LANDOWNER SPONSOR - DEER COMBO	1,463	\$556	
	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - DEER COMBO	1,482	\$556	
B-11	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - DEER COMBO	2	\$556	
B-11	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - DEER COMBO	4,849	\$556	
	NONRESIDENT	FAMILY SPONSOR - DEER COMBO	41	\$556	
	NONRESIDENT	NR NATIVE GENERAL DEER	1,171	\$64	
	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL - DEER COMBO	76	\$556	
	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL DEER LICENSE	8	\$125	
			9,099		
	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL DEER COMBO (RETAINED HB 607)	4	\$556	
	NONRESIDENT	GENERAL DEER COMBO (RETAINED HB 607)	2,079	\$556	
			2,083		
		Elk and Deer Combo licenses sold	13,033		
		Elk only licesnes sold	3,484		
		Elk B licenses sold	1,158		
		Deer (buck) license Sold	9,099		
		Deer license retained under HB 607	2,083		
		Total NR Licensed to Hunt	28,857		
		Note many of the Elk b license holder	•		
		may also hold a NR combination license			

	1/00/01
	Calculation of
	200
	r in at cilorde success flor 100% only due to residents applying as a party with nonresidents.
	288 1101
	00%
	2,17
	5
	GOIGET
	idde si
	ying as
	a pan
•	WITH MITH
	nonres
	sidents.

otals	799-15	590-15	580-15	502-15	500-15	420-15	411-15	401-15	0				Totals	798-15	620-15	410-15	6			
3,700	860	450	130	150	180	680	900	350	Quota				4,005	705	1,400	1,900	Quota			
1,615	287	191	62	63	51	282	566	113	1ST				3,133	303	1,080	1,750	1ST			
2,290	497	231	116	89	104	664	432	157	2ND	Applications			1,413	429	273	711	2ND	Applications		
									3RD	S	æ		366			15	3RD	S	R	
0 1,615	287	191	6	6	51	282	566	11	1ST		Resident	2012 EIK	3,093	80 303	132 1,075	154 1,715	1ST		Resident	71.07
5 1,673	17 487	11 214	62 6	63 7		334	6 245	113 15	2ND	Successful		2012 Elk Archery Permit Numbers, Outside Breaks				5	2ND	Successful		2012 EIN MICHELY PERMIT NUMBERS, DIESKS
ധ	17	4	55	77	104	34	5	157	3RD			rmit Numbe	518	332	186	0	3RD	호		A LESSING INC
0								H	151	_	_	ers, Outs	애	0	0	0	181	-	_	וווטפוט, ו
653	166	111	26	4	16	101	180	49		_		ide Break	1,200	219	481	500				DICTOR
249	(15						6	,	2ND	Applications		S	3(3	1:	2ND	Applications		
9	59	14	7	6	Ō	51	60	42	3RD		Nonn		302 1	67	84	51	3RD	,	Nonr	
0									1ST		Nonresident		104	41	28	35	181	-	Nonresident	
365	86	45	13	4	16	64	89	48	2ND	Successfu			394	70	139	185	2ND	Suco		
45				6	7			32	3RD	essful			0	0	0	0	3RD	Successful		
0													0	0	0	0				

	•		_		_			_						*		*	,	_
99.93%	99.65%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	% 1st	Res			98.52%	99.21%	96.85%	99.73%	% 1st	Res
83.48%	98.60%	100.00%	98.28%	75.36%	88.37%	77.59%	73.68%	59.84%	% 2nd	Resident			36.52%	90.00%	0.00%	23.95%	% 2nd	Resident
_	Н	Η.	Н	1	1	_	Н	1	%	Н	Н	┝	Н	Н	Н	\vdash	%	⊢
49.51%	46.43%	30.16%	53.57%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	39.70%	100.00%	1st	Nonresident			30.60%	31.25%	26.46%	34.04%	1st	Nonre
3.39%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	55.56%	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	% 2nd	sident			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	% 2nd	Nonresident

Totals	799-15	590-15	580-15	502-15	500-15	420-15	411-15	401-15					Totals	798-15	620-15	410-15				
3,290	785	385	115	125	135	775	800	170	Quota				3,660	600	1,260	1,800	Quota			
1,402	284	172	43	65	50	252	455	81	1ST				2,898	254	1,175	1,469	1ST :			
1,876	430	165	58	69	86	580	361	127	2ND	Applications			1,224	320	240	664	2ND	Applications		
402	55	47	16	23	26	110	79	46	3RD		Resident		331	84	80	167	3RD		Resident	
1,401	283	172	43	65	50	252	455	81	181		dent	2011 Elk Arc	2,855	252	1,138	1,465	181		dent	2011 E
1,566	424	165	57	52	76	450	266	76	2ND	Successful		2011 Elk Archery Permit Numbers, Outside Breaks	447	288	0	159	2ND	Successful		2011 Elk Archery Permit Numbers, Breaks
10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	3RD			t Numbers,	0	0	0	0	3RD			ermit Numb
_									1ST			Outside B	1,				1ST			ers, Break
616	168	126	28	6	4	73	199	12	2ND	Applications		reaks	1,170	192	461	517	2ND	Applications		S
236	73	15	6	2	9	50	79	2	31	tions			377	137	110	130		tions		
85	36	3	3	0	4	26	12	1	3RD		Nonresio		176	55	52	69	3RD		Nonresid	
ယ္									1ST		dent		3				1ST		dent	
305	78	38	15	6	4	73	79	12	2ND	Successful			358	60	122	176	2ND	Successfu		
œ	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	1	3RD	Ē			0	0	0	0	3RD	Ē		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		L_			0	0	0	0				L

DIFFERENT SCENARIOS OF ECONOMIC IMPACT OF NON-RESIDENT HUNTERS

THESE SCENARIOS PERTAIN TO NON-RESIDENT ELK HUNTERS ONLY.

Scenario #1-Non-resident hunter hunting with an outfitter:

Generally speaking, in this scenario, the outfitter provides the lodging, meals and transportation (once they have arrived at the outfitters headquarters) for the client. The only expenses the client will usually incur in Montana would be any gas within the state and perhaps miscellaneous small purchases. While the outfitter will be providing the food, being good business people, those supplies are likely to be bought at the closest Costco or Wal-Mart, not the small local stores.

Scenario #2-Non-resident hunter hunting without an outfitter on public land.

Where most people elk hunt is a fair distance from a city, and usually requires a long walk or horse ride to reach where the elk actually are. Therefore, most elk hunters choose to camp fairly close to their desired hunting area. Usually, they bring their own camps with them, whether they are trailers, wall tents, etc. and thus are not staying in local motels. They also usually bring their food supplies with them and do marginal shopping in local markets. So once again their spending in local communities is limited and usually the bulk of it would be gas while they are in Montana.

Scenario #3-Non-resident hunters who lease land to hunt on.

This is a very small percentage of the total number of non-residents who hunt in Montana. Depending on the land leased, they may be provided a cabin to stay in or a place to camp on the ranch or they may stay at the closest motel. Again, the circumstances will determine whether they bring their own food or eat at local restaurants.

In all three of the above scenarios, it would not be uncommon for the non-resident hunter to go into town for an occasional meal or perhaps a room for a night.

Contrast the above scenarios to what happens when Montana hunters stop going to an area to hunt due to over-crowding or lack of access. While we may too bring our own food and lodging, they were bought in Montana. We also pay for our own means of transportation and gas which again has been bought in Montana. How about all the scouting trips that Montana residents take to the various hunting areas where we buy gas, supplies, etc.? We have been told by business owners in small towns that their businesses have suffered greatly because Montanans no longer come to their areas to hunt. THESE DOLLARS ARE NEVER SUBTRACTED OUT OF THE ALLEGED INCOME PRODUCED BY NON-RESIDENT HUNTERS.